

**A Way Home for Tulsa Continuum of Care Project Rating Tool
NOFO 2024**



Organization Name: _____
 Project Name: _____
 Project Type: _____
 Project Identifier: _____

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Performance Measures

Length of Stay	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 TH (General) - On average, participants stay in project at least XX days	180 days	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	2 points
Exits to Permanent Housing				
1 TH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	20 points
2 TH (General) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	80-89 %	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	15 points
3 TH (General) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	70-79 %	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10 points
Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)				
1 TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	6 points
2 TH (General) - Percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	11-20 %	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	3 points
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
1 TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	2 points
2 TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	4 %	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	3 points
3 TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	2 points
4 TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	4 %	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	2 points
Serve High Needs Populations				
1 TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	35 %	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	1 points
2 TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	25 %	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	1 points
Project Effectiveness				
1 TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	5 points
2 TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10 points
Performance Measures Subtotal				54 points

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes			out of 2 points
2 Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness	Yes			out of 2 points
3 Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	Yes			out of 5 points
4 Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes			out of 5 points
Equity Factors Subtotal			out of	14 points

Program Participant Outcomes

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes			out of 8 points
2 Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes			out of 8 points
3 Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes			out of 8 points
Program Participant Outcomes Subtotal			out of	24 points

Other and Local Criteria

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes			out of 8 points
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			out of	8 points

Total Maximum Score

TH-General projects: out of **100** points

Project Financial Information

CoC funding requested _____
 Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city) _____
 Amount of private funding _____
TOTAL PROJECT COST _____

CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year _____
 CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year _____
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year _____