

**A Way Home for Tulsa Continuum of Care Project Rating Tool  
NOFO 2024**



Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

**RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL**

**Performance Measures**

Length of Stay	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 TH+RRH (DV) - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project at least XX days	180 days			out of 1 points
2 TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend less than XX days from project entry to residential move-in	30 days			out of 1 points
Exits to Permanent Housing	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	85 %			out of 20 points
2 TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	75-84 %			out of 15 points
3 TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	65-74 %			out of 10 points
New or Increased Income and Earned Income	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %			out of 3 points
2 TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	4 %			out of 3 points
3 TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %			out of 3 points
4 TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	4 %			out of 3 points
Serve High Needs Populations	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	35 %			out of 1 points
2 TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	10 %			out of 1 points
Project Effectiveness	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %			out of 6 points
2 TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes			out of 12 points
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>				out of 54 points

## Equity Factors

### Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes			out of 2 points
2 Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness	Yes			out of 2 points
3 Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	Yes			out of 5 points
4 Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes			out of 5 points
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			out of	<b>14</b> points

### Program Participant Outcomes

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes			out of 8 points
2 Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes			out of 8 points
3 Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes			out of 8 points
<b>Program Participant Outcomes Subtotal</b>			out of	<b>24</b> points

### Other and Local Criteria

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes			out of 8 points
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			out of	<b>8</b> points

**Total Maximum Score**

TH+RRH-DV projects: out of **100** points

## Project Financial Information

CoC funding requested \_\_\_\_\_  
 Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Amount of private funding \_\_\_\_\_  
**TOTAL PROJECT COST** \_\_\_\_\_

CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year \_\_\_\_\_  
 CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year \_\_\_\_\_  
**Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year** \_\_\_\_\_